

CONTINUITY OF MEASURABLE INVARIANT CONFORMAL STRUCTURES FOR LINEAR COCYCLES OVER HYPERBOLIC SYSTEMS

CLARK BUTLER

ABSTRACT. We show that every measurable invariant conformal structure for a Hölder continuous linear cocycle over a subshift of finite type coincides almost everywhere with a continuous invariant conformal structure. We use this result to establish Hölder continuity of a measurable conjugacy between Hölder continuous cocycles where one of the cocycles is assumed to be uniformly quasiconformal. As a special case we derive that if a Hölder linear cocycle is a measurable coboundary, then it is a Hölder coboundary. We also use the main theorem to show that a linear cocycle is conformal if none of its iterates preserve a measurable family of proper subspaces of $\mathbb{R}^d$. We use this to characterize closed negatively curved Riemannian manifolds of constant negative curvature by irreducibility of the action of the geodesic flow on the unstable bundle.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $\ell \geq 2$ be a fixed positive integer, let $Q = (q_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq \ell}$ be an $\ell \times \ell$ matrix with $q_{ij} \in \{0, 1\}$ and let Σ be the *subshift of finite type* associated to the matrix Q ,

$$\Sigma = \{(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} : q_{x_n x_{n+1}} = 1 \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

We let $f : \Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma$ be the left shift map defined by $f(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} = (x_{n+1})_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$. We equip Σ with the family of metrics,

$$\rho_\tau(x, y) = e^{-\tau N(x, y)}, \text{ where } N(x, y) = \max\{N \geq 0; x_n = y_n \text{ for all } |n| < N\},$$

where $\tau \in (0, \infty)$. Let $d \geq 1$ be a fixed positive integer and let I denote the identity map on $\mathbb{R}^d$.

Definition 1.1. Let $A : \Sigma \rightarrow GL(d, \mathbb{R})$ be a measurable map. The *linear cocycle* over f generated by A is the map

$$\mathcal{A} : \Sigma \times \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow GL(d, \mathbb{R}),$$

$$\mathcal{A}(x, n) = \begin{cases} A(f^{n-1}(x)) \dots A(f(x))A(x) & \text{if } n > 0 \\ I & \text{if } n = 0 \\ A(f^n(x))^{-1} \dots A(f^{-1}(x))^{-1} & \text{if } n < 0. \end{cases}$$

We will write $A^n(x) := \mathcal{A}(x, n)$. We say that $\mathcal{A}$ is a continuous linear cocycle if the generator A is continuous; we say that $\mathcal{A}$ is α -Hölder continuous if the generator A is α -Hölder continuous with respect to the metric ρ_τ for a fixed choice of τ . Note that it then follows that A is Hölder continuous with respect to $\rho_{\tau'}$ for any other $\tau' > 0$, with a possibly different Hölder exponent.

This material is based upon work supported by the National Science Foundation Graduate Research Fellowship under Grant No. # DGE-1144082.

A *conformal structure* on $\mathbb{R}^d$ is an equivalence class of inner products on $\mathbb{R}^d$, where two inner products $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and $(\cdot, \cdot)$ are considered equivalent if there is a positive real number $\lambda > 0$ such that $\langle v, w \rangle = \lambda(v, w)$ for every $v, w \in \mathbb{R}^d$. We denote the space of conformal structures on $\mathbb{R}^d$ by $\mathcal{C}^d$. The space $\mathcal{C}^d$ can be naturally identified with the Riemannian symmetric space $SL(d, \mathbb{R})/SO(d, \mathbb{R})$ of real positive definite symmetric matrices of determinant 1 which carries a left-invariant nonpositively curved Riemannian metric g . $GL(d, \mathbb{R})$ acts transitively on $\mathcal{C}^d$ via the action

$$B_*\eta = \det(BB^T)^{-\frac{2}{d}} B^T \eta B$$

for $B \in GL(d, \mathbb{R})$ and a positive definite matrix $\eta \in SL(d, \mathbb{R})/SO(d, \mathbb{R})$, where B^T denotes the transpose of B . This action is an isometry with respect to the metric g . For more details and proofs related to these facts, see [13]. For a matrix $B \in GL(d, \mathbb{R})$ and a positive definite matrix $\eta \in SL(d, \mathbb{R})/SO(d, \mathbb{R})$ we define

$$B[\eta] = B_*^{-1}\eta$$

We let $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denote the standard Euclidean inner product on $\mathbb{R}^d$ and $\|\cdot\|$ the associated norm.

Lastly, let μ be a fixed ergodic, fully supported f -invariant probability measure on Σ with local product structure (this will be defined in Section 2).

Definition 1.2. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a linear cocycle over f . We say that $\mathcal{A}$ preserves a measurable conformal structure if there is a measurable map $\eta : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^d$ defined μ -a.e. such that

$$A(x)[\eta_x] = \eta_{f(x)},$$

for μ -a.e. $x \in \Sigma$. We say that $\mathcal{A}$ preserves a continuous conformal structure if η is continuous and the above equality holds for every $x \in \Sigma$.

We can now state the main theorem of this paper,

Theorem 1.3. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an α -Hölder continuous linear cocycle over f . If $\mathcal{A}$ preserves a measurable conformal structure $\eta : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^d$ then η coincides μ -a.e. with an α -Hölder continuous conformal structure $\hat{\eta} : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^d$ which is invariant under $\mathcal{A}$.*

The conclusion of Theorem 1.3 was previously known to hold under the additional assumption that $\mathcal{A}$ is *fiber bunched* (see [13], for instance) : if α is the Hölder exponent of $\mathcal{A}$ with respect to the metric ρ_τ then we say that $\mathcal{A}$ is fiber bunched if there is a positive number $\xi < 1$ and constant $L > 0$ such that

$$\|A^n(x)\| \|A^n(x)^{-1}\| e^{-|n|\alpha\tau} < L\xi^{|n|}$$

for every $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Fiber bunching is a common assumption among recent theorems proven about linear cocycles over hyperbolic systems: these include continuity of Lyapunov exponents [3], simplicity of Lyapunov exponents [5], cohomology of Hölder continuous cocycles [22], [2], and characterizations of linear cocycles with vanishing Lyapunov exponents [1]. There are examples due to Bocker-Viana and the author [5], [9], illustrating the necessity of the fiber bunching condition for unconditional statements regarding continuity of the Lyapunov exponents, but beyond these examples very little is known about the necessity of the fiber bunching hypothesis.

Without the fiber bunching hypothesis, the conclusion of Theorem 1.3 was also previously known to hold under additional boundedness hypotheses on the $\mathcal{A}$ -invariant conformal structure η . The best previous result in this direction is due to Sadovskaya and de la Llave [11], who showed that if the function $x \rightarrow g(\eta_x, \langle, \rangle)$ was in $L^p(\mu)$ for p sufficiently large, then η coincided μ -a.e. with a continuous $\mathcal{A}$ -invariant conformal structure.

Using Theorem 1.3, we can remove the fiber bunching hypothesis from one of the main theorems of a recent work of Sadovskaya[22]. A continuous linear cocycle $\mathcal{B}$ with generator B over f is *uniformly quasiconformal* if there is a constant $L > 0$ such that for μ -a.e. $x \in \Sigma$,

$$\|B^n(x)\| \|B^n(x)^{-1}\| \leq L \text{ for every } n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Corollary 1.4. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ be continuous linear cocycles over f such that $\mathcal{A}$ is α -Hölder continuous and $\mathcal{B}$ is uniformly quasiconformal. Suppose that there is a measurable function $P : \Sigma \rightarrow GL(d, \mathbb{R})$ such that*

$$P(f(x))^{-1} A(x) P(x) = B(x), \text{ for } \mu\text{-a.e. } x \in \Sigma.$$

Then $\mathcal{A}$ preserves an α -Hölder continuous conformal structure. If in addition B is also α -Hölder continuous, then P coincides μ -a.e. with a α -Hölder continuous function.

Proof. Since $\mathcal{B}$ is uniformly quasiconformal, $\mathcal{B}$ preserves a measurable conformal structure η [13, Proposition 2.4]. $\mathcal{A}$ then preserves the measurable conformal structure $P[\eta]$. By Theorem 1.3, $P[\eta]$ coincides μ -a.e. with an α -Hölder continuous conformal structure $\widehat{P}[\eta]$ that is $\mathcal{A}$ -invariant. There is thus a constant $C \geq 1$ such that for every $v \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and every $x \in \Sigma$,

$$C^{-1} \|v\| \leq \sqrt{\widehat{P(x)[\eta_x]}(v, v)} \leq C \|v\|$$

Since $\mathcal{A}$ preserves $\widehat{P}[\eta]$ we conclude that for every $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and every $x \in \Sigma$,

$$\|A^n(x)\| \|A^n(x)^{-1}\| \leq C^2$$

It follows immediately that $\mathcal{A}$ is fiber bunched. The final statement of Corollary 1.4 then follows from [22, Theorem 2.7]. $\square$

We isolate the case $B \equiv \text{Id}_{\mathbb{R}^d}$ in Corollary 1.4 which is of particular interest,

Corollary 1.5. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an α -Hölder continuous cocycle over f . Suppose that there is a measurable function $P : \Sigma \rightarrow GL(d, \mathbb{R})$ such that*

$$A(x) = P(f(x))P(x)^{-1}, \text{ for } \mu\text{-a.e. } x \in \Sigma.$$

Then P coincides μ -a.e. with an α -Hölder continuous function $\hat{P}$ satisfying the same equation for every $x \in \Sigma$.

This proves that the coboundary equation for Hölder continuous linear cocycles is measurably rigid: a Hölder continuous cocycle $\mathcal{A}$ is a measurable coboundary if and only if it is a Hölder coboundary. This generalizes a well-known fact for cocycles over f which take values in compact or abelian groups that measurable solutions must coincide a.e. with Hölder continuous solutions which goes back to Livsic [18] in the case of cocycles taking values in $\mathbb{R}$.

We say that $\mathcal{A}$ is *measurably reducible* (with respect to the measure μ) if there is some $1 \leq r \leq d - 1$ and some $n \geq 1$ such that there is a measurable family of r -dimensional linear subspaces $E_x \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ parametrized by $x \in \Sigma$ such that $A^n(x)(E_x) = E_{f^n(x)}$ for μ -a.e. $x \in \Sigma$. We say that $\mathcal{A}$ is *measurably irreducible* if it is not measurably reducible. Our next corollary gives a measure-theoretic criterion for a Hölder continuous cocycle to preserve a continuous conformal structure,

Corollary 1.6. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an α -Hölder linear cocycle over f . Suppose that $\mathcal{A}$ is measurably irreducible. Then $\mathcal{A}$ preserves an α -Hölder continuous conformal structure.*

Proof. By Zimmer's amenable reduction theorem [26] there is a measurable map $P : \Sigma \rightarrow GL(d, \mathbb{R})$ defined μ -a.e. such that $B(x) := P(f(x))^{-1}A(x)P(x)$ takes values in a subgroup G of $GL(d, \mathbb{R})$ which contains an amenable subgroup H of finite index. There is then an $n \geq 1$ such that $B^n(x) \in H$ for μ -a.e. $x \in \Sigma$.

According to the classification of maximal amenable subgroups of $GL(d, \mathbb{R})$, either H stabilizes a proper subspace $\{0\} \subsetneq V \subsetneq \mathbb{R}^d$ or H is a subgroup of the conformal linear automorphisms $\mathbb{R}^\times \times SO(d, \mathbb{R})$ (see [19] for this classification and [14, Theorem 3.4] for a more detailed discussion of this implication). In the first case we conclude that A^n leaves μ -a.e. invariant the measurable family of subspaces $E_x = P(x)(V)$ and thus A is measurably reducible. In the second case A preserves the measurable conformal structure induced by $P[\langle, \rangle]$, where we recall that $\langle, \rangle$ denotes the standard Euclidean inner product on $\mathbb{R}^d$. By Theorem 1.3 $P[\langle, \rangle]$ coincides μ -a.e. with an α -Hölder continuous conformal structure which is preserved by $\mathcal{A}$. $\square$

For our final corollary we let M be a closed, negatively curved Riemannian manifold of dimension at least 3. We let SM denote the unit tangent bundle of M and let g^t be the geodesic flow on SM . Since M is negatively curved, the geodesic flow is an Anosov flow and thus there is a Dg^t -invariant splitting $T(SM) = E^u \oplus E^c \oplus E^s$ where E^u is exponentially expanded by g^t , E^s is exponentially contracted by Dg^t , and E^c is tangent to the flow direction of g^t . We also have $\dim E^u = \dim M - 1 \geq 2$ and we know that E^u is an α -Hölder continuous subbundle of $T(SM)$ for some $\alpha > 0$. These assertions are classical, see [16] for instance for proofs.

Let $\varphi : SM \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a Hölder continuous potential and μ_φ the g^t -invariant probability measure which is the equilibrium state associated to φ . Equilibrium states include the Liouville volume on SM and the Bowen-Margulis measure of maximal entropy; we refer to [8] for details.

Lastly for a subshift of finite type Σ and a continuous function $\psi : \Sigma \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ we let Σ_ψ be the space

$$\Sigma_\psi = \{(x, t) \in \Sigma \times [0, \infty] : t \leq \psi(x)\} / (x, \psi(x)) \sim (f(x), 0)$$

and let F^t be the special flow on Σ_ψ defined by $F^s(x, t) = (x, t + s)$ (up to the equivalence relation above).

Corollary 1.7. *Let g^t be the geodesic flow of a closed negatively curved Riemannian manifold M with $\dim M \geq 3$. Suppose that there is a Hölder continuous function $\varphi : SM \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $Dg^t|_{E^u}$ is measurably irreducible with respect to μ_φ . Then M has constant negative curvature.*

Proof. The geodesic flow g^t is a transitive Anosov flow and thus admits a Markov partition [20]. We conclude that there is a subshift of finite type Σ , a Hölder continuous roof function $\psi : \Sigma \rightarrow (0, \infty)$, and a Hölder continuous surjection $h : \Sigma_\psi \rightarrow SM$ such that $h \circ F^t = g^t \circ h$. There is an ergodic, fully supported measure ν on Σ_ψ with local product structure such that $h_*\nu = \mu_\varphi$ and h is a bijection on full measure sets for ν and μ_φ [8].

The restriction $Dg^t|E^u$ of the derivative cocycle Dg^t of g^t to the unstable bundle E^u is an α -Hölder continuous for some $\alpha > 0$, as remarked above. The bundle E^u may be trivialized over Σ_ψ : there is a Hölder continuous map $L : \Sigma_\psi \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow E^u$ which is a linear isomorphism on fibers and covers the map h , where $d = \dim E^u$. We let $\mathcal{A} : \Sigma_\psi \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow GL(d, \mathbb{R})$ denote the pullback of the linear cocycle $Dg^t|E^u$ by the map L . We observe that $\mathcal{A}$ is a Hölder continuous linear cocycle over F^t and $\mathcal{A}$ is measurably irreducible with respect to ν . This is because h is a bijection from a full ν -measure subset of Σ_ψ to a full μ_φ -measure subset of SM and therefore any measurable invariant subbundle for $\mathcal{A}$ can be pushed forward to a measurable Dg^t -invariant subbundle of E^u .

Note that for each $x \in \Sigma$, $F^{\psi(x)}(x) = f(x)$. We let $\mathcal{A}^\psi$ be the linear cocycle over f induced from $\mathcal{A}$ by taking the time $\psi(x)$ map at x . We again note that $\mathcal{A}^\psi$ is Hölder continuous and is measurably irreducible; this follows from the facts that ψ is Hölder continuous and $\mathcal{A}$ is measurably irreducible. We can thus apply Corollary 1.6 to $\mathcal{A}^\psi$ to conclude that $\mathcal{A}^\psi$ preserves a continuous conformal structure η on $\Sigma \times \mathbb{R}^d$. From this it follows that the linear cocycle $\mathcal{A}$ is uniformly quasiconformal (since ψ is bounded) and thus $Dg^t|E^u$ is uniformly quasiconformal as well. By results of Sadovskaya [21] this implies that $Dg^t|E^u$ preserves a continuous conformal structure on E^u . Applying Kanai's work on geodesic flows which are conformal on the unstable bundle [15] we conclude that g^t is C^1 -conjugate to the geodesic flow of a closed negatively curved manifold of constant negative curvature. We then apply the minimal entropy rigidity theorem of Besson, Courtois and Gallot [4] to conclude that M has constant negative curvature. $\square$

Corollary 1.7 first appeared in [25], however there appears to be a gap in the proof which is discussed in detail in [10, Remark 3.2]. This gap is intimately related to the task of proving that a measurable invariant conformal structure is bounded.

We remark that all of the results of this paper apply equally well to Hölder continuous cocycles over transitive Anosov diffeomorphisms or Anosov flows where the base measure μ is taken to be the equilibrium state of a Hölder continuous potential. The reduction to the case of a Hölder continuous cocycle over a subshift of finite type via a Markov partition is standard and follows the techniques of the proof of Corollary 1.7.

We thank Amie Wilkinson for many helpful discussions regarding the contents of this paper.

2. DEFINITIONS AND REDUCTIONS

In this section we define some common objects associated to Σ and make some preliminary reductions before beginning the proof of Theorem 1.3.

We define

$$\Sigma^u = \{(x_n)_{n \geq 0} : q_{x_n x_{n+1}} = 1 \text{ for all } n \geq 0\},$$

$$\Sigma^s = \{(x_n)_{n < 0} : q_{x_n x_{n+1}} = 1 \text{ for all } n \leq -2\},$$

to be the sets of one-sided right and left infinite sequences associated to Q respectively. We have projections $\pi_u : \Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma^u$ and $\pi_s : \Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma^s$ obtained by dropping all of the negative coordinates and all of the nonnegative coordinates respectively of a sequence in Σ . We let f_u denote the left shift on Σ^u and f_s will denote the right shift on Σ^s .

We define the *local stable set* of $x \in \Sigma$ to be

$$W_{loc}^s(x) = \{(y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \in \Sigma : x_n = y_n \text{ for all } n \geq 0\},$$

and the *local unstable set* to be

$$W_{loc}^u(x) = \{(y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \in \Sigma : x_n = y_n \text{ for all } n \leq 0\}.$$

For $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $a_0, \dots, a_k \in \mathbb{N}$, we define the cylinder notation

$$[m; a_0, \dots, a_k] = \{\hat{x} \in \hat{\Sigma} : x_{m+i} = a_{m+i}, 0 \leq i \leq k\}.$$

For $m \geq 0$, we let $[m; a_0, \dots, a_k]^u$ denote the corresponding cylinder in Σ^u and for $m \leq -k$, $[m; a_0, \dots, a_k]^s$ is the corresponding cylinder in Σ^s .

For two points $x, y \in [0; i]$, $1 \leq i \leq \ell$, we define $[x, y]$ to be the unique point in the intersection $W_{loc}^u(x) \cap W_{loc}^s(y)$.

We let μ be a fully supported ergodic f -invariant probability measure on Σ . We let $\mu^u = (\pi_u)_* \mu$ and $\mu^s = (\pi_s)_* \mu$ denote the projections of μ to Σ^u and Σ^s respectively. For a subset E of Σ we let $\mu|E$ denote the restriction of μ to E . Note that for each $1 \leq i \leq \ell$ we have a natural homeomorphism $[0; i] \rightarrow \pi_s([0; i]) \times \pi_u([0; i])$ given by $\pi_s \times \pi_u$. We say that μ has *local product structure* if there is a continuous function $\xi : \Sigma \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ such that

$$\mu|_{[0; i]} = \xi \cdot (\mu^s|_{\pi_s([0; i])} \times \mu^u|_{\pi_u([0; i])}).$$

We will always assume that μ has local product structure in this paper. Natural examples of measures with local product structure are equilibrium states of Hölder potentials [7].

As a consequence of the local product structure of μ , the measures μ^u and μ^s admit Jacobians with respect to the dynamics f_u and f_s [6, Lemma 2.2],

Proposition 2.1. *There are continuous functions $J_u : \Sigma^u \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ and $J_s : \Sigma^s \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ such that for each $1 \leq i, j \leq \ell$ and each Borel subset $K_u \subseteq [0; i]^u$,*

$$\mu^u(K_u) = \int_{f_u^{-1}(K_u) \cap [0; j, i]^u} J_u d\mu^u,$$

and likewise for each Borel subset $K_s \subseteq [0; i]^s$,

$$\mu^s(K_s) = \int_{f_s^{-1}(K_s) \cap [-1; i, j]^s} J_s d\mu^s,$$

In particular, if $\mu^u(K_u) > 0$ then $\mu^u(f_u^{-1}(K_u) \cap [0; j, i]^u) > 0$ for each $1 \leq j \leq \ell$, and the analogous statement is true for μ^s and K_s .

Let ν be any f -invariant ergodic probability measure on Σ . The *extremal Lyapunov exponents* of $\mathcal{A}$ with respect to ν are defined to be

$$\lambda_+(\mathcal{A}, \nu) = \inf_{n \geq 1} \int_{\Sigma} \log \|A^n\| d\nu,$$

$$\lambda_-(\mathcal{A}, \nu) = \sup_{n \geq 1} \int_{\Sigma} \log \|A^{-n}\|^{-1} d\nu$$

By Kingman's subadditive ergodic theorem [17], for ν -a.e. $x \in \Sigma$ we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \|A^n(x)\| = \lambda_+(\mathcal{A}, \nu),$$

and the analogous statement is true for λ_- . If $\mathcal{A}$ is an $SL(d, \mathbb{R})$ -valued cocycle we have the equality $-\lambda_-(\mathcal{A}, \nu) = \lambda_+(\mathcal{A}, \nu)$. We will be particularly interested in the extremal Lyapunov exponents of probability measures supported on periodic orbits of f . For each periodic point p of f , we let ν_p denote the unique f -invariant probability measure supported on the orbit of p .

We make some reductions before beginning the proof of Theorem 1.3. Observe that a function is α -Hölder continuous with respect to the distance ρ_τ if and only if it is Lipschitz continuous with respect to $\rho_{\alpha\tau}$. Hence it suffices to prove Theorem 1.3 in the case that A is Lipschitz continuous with respect to ρ_τ . From now on we fix $\tau \in (0, \infty)$ and define $\rho := \rho_\tau$.

We next reduce to the case where A takes values in $SL(d, \mathbb{R})$. If we define

$$B = \det(A)^{-\frac{1}{d}} \cdot A,$$

then $\det(B(x)) = 1$ for every $x \in \Sigma$ so B takes values in $SL(d, \mathbb{R})$. It is clear that B is Lipschitz with respect to ρ if and only if A is Lipschitz with respect to ρ . Let $\mathcal{B}$ be the linear cocycle generated by B . If $\eta : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^d$ defines a measurable conformal structure on $\Sigma \times \mathbb{R}^d$, then it is clearly μ -a.e. $\mathcal{A}$ -invariant if and only if it is μ -a.e. $\mathcal{B}$ -invariant, since these cocycles are conformally equivalent to each other. Thus for the rest of the paper we will assume that $\mathcal{A}$ takes values in $SL(d, \mathbb{R})$. As a consequence, for μ -a.e. $x \in \Sigma$ we have the equality

$$\eta_{f(x)}(A(x)v, A(x)w) = \eta_x(v, w), \text{ for every } v, w \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

Lastly we reduce to the case where $f : \Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma$ is topologically mixing. By the spectral decomposition theorem for hyperbolic basic sets there is an integer $k \geq 1$ such that we can write $\Sigma = \bigsqcup_{i=1}^k \Sigma_i$ for closed subsets Σ_i of Σ such that $f(\Sigma_i) = \Sigma_{i+1}$, where the index is taken mod k and $f^k|_{\Sigma_i}$ is a topologically mixing subshift of finite type for $1 \leq i \leq k$. The normalized restriction μ_i of μ to Σ_i is an f^k -invariant ergodic, fully supported probability measure with local product structure and $A^k|_{\Sigma_i}$ generates a Lipschitz continuous linear cocycle $\mathcal{A}_i^k$ over f^k .

If $\mathcal{A}$ preserves a measurable conformal structure η , then $\mathcal{A}_i^k$ preserves the measurable conformal structure $\eta|_{[0; i]}$. Hence if Theorem 1.3 holds for topologically mixing subshifts of finite type, then $\eta|_{[0; i]}$ coincides μ_i -a.e. with a Lipschitz continuous invariant conformal structure for $\mathcal{A}_i^k$. This holds for each $1 \leq i \leq k$; we thus conclude that η agrees μ -a.e. with a Lipschitz continuous conformal structure on $\Sigma \times \mathbb{R}^d$ which is necessarily $\mathcal{A}$ -invariant.

3. PROOF OF MAIN THEOREM

Let $\eta : \Sigma \rightarrow SL(d, \mathbb{R})/SO(d, \mathbb{R})$ be an $\mathcal{A}$ -invariant measurable family of inner products on $\mathbb{R}^d$ for which the equality $A(x)[\eta_x] = \eta_{f(x)}$ holds for μ -a.e. x . Our first observation is that the extremal Lyapunov exponents of A with respect to μ must be zero,

Proposition 3.1. *If there is a measurable function $\eta : \Sigma \rightarrow SL(d, \mathbb{R})/SO(d, \mathbb{R})$ such that $A(x)[\eta_x] = \eta_{f(x)}$ for μ -a.e. x then $\lambda_+(A, \mu) = -\lambda_-(A, \mu) = 0$.*

Proof. By Lusin's theorem we can find a compact subset $K \subseteq \Sigma$ with positive μ -measure on which η is uniformly continuous. Let $\hat{f} : K \rightarrow K$ be the first return map to K and $\hat{A}$ the induced cocycle over $\hat{f}$ on K with generator $\hat{A}$. By continuity of η restricted to K , there is a constant $C \geq 1$ such that for all $x \in K$ and $v \in \mathbb{R}^d$ we have

$$C^{-1}\|v\|^2 \leq \eta_x(v, v) \leq C\|v\|^2$$

For every $x \in K$, every $n \geq 1$, and each $v \in \mathbb{R}^d$, we know that

$$C^{-1}\|\hat{A}^n(x)(v)\|^2 \leq \eta_{\hat{f}^n(x)}(\hat{A}^n(x)(v), \hat{A}^n(x)(v)) \leq C\|\hat{A}^n(x)(v)\|^2$$

Combining this with the fact that for every $x \in K$ we have $\hat{A}_*^n \eta_x = \eta_{\hat{f}^n(x)}$, we conclude that for every $x \in K$ we have

$$\|\hat{A}^n(x)(v)\|^2 \leq C^2\|v\|^2,$$

for every $n \geq 1$. Hence $\lambda_+(\hat{A}, \mu_K) = 0$, where $\mu_K = \mu(K)^{-1}\mu|_K$ is the induced invariant probability measure for $\hat{f}$ on K . Since $\lambda_+(\hat{A}, \mu_K) = \mu(K)^{-1}\lambda_+(\mathcal{A}, \mu)$ [24] this then implies that $\lambda_+(\mathcal{A}, \mu) = 0$. $\square$

We let $\mathcal{D}(N, \theta)$ be the set of points $x \in \Sigma$ such that

$$\prod_{j=0}^{k-1} \|A^N(f^{jN}(x))\| \|A^N(f^{jN}(x))^{-1}\| \leq e^{kN\theta} \text{ for all } k \geq 1,$$

together with the same condition for x with f and A replaced by f^{-1} and A^{-1} respectively. Since $\lambda_+(\mathcal{A}, \mu) = 0$, we conclude from [23, Corollary 2.4] that for any given $\theta > 0$ and μ -a.e. $x \in \Sigma$, there is an $N > 0$ such that $x \in \mathcal{D}(N, \theta)$. The cocycle $\mathcal{A}$ is fiber bunched if and only if there is an $N > 0$ and a $\theta < \tau$ such that $\mathcal{D}(N, \theta) = \Sigma$.

Proposition 3.2 ([23, Proposition 2.5]). *Given N, θ with $\theta < \tau$, there exists $L = L(N, \theta) > 0$ such that for any $x \in \mathcal{D}(N, \theta)$ and any $y, z \in W_{loc}^s(x)$,*

$$H_{yz}^s = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A^n(z)^{-1} A^n(y),$$

exists and satisfies $\|H_{yz}^s - I\| \leq L \cdot \rho(y, z)$ and $H_{yz}^s = H_{xz}^s \circ H_{yx}^s$. Similarly, if $y, z \in W_{loc}^u(x)$ then the limit

$$H_{yz}^u = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A^n(z) A^n(y)^{-1},$$

exists and satisfies $\|H_{yz}^u - I\| \leq L \cdot \rho(y, z)$ and $H_{yz}^u = H_{xz}^u \circ H_{yx}^u$.

We refer to H^s and H^u as the stable and unstable holonomies of $\mathcal{A}$ respectively. In the next proposition we show that η is invariant under these stable and unstable holonomies on a full measure subset of Σ ,

Lemma 3.3. *There is an f -invariant subset Ω of Σ , with $\mu(\Omega) = 1$, such that if $x, y \in \Omega$ and $y \in W_{loc}^*(x)$ then*

$$H_{xy}^*[\eta_x] = \eta_y,$$

for $*$ in $\{s, u\}$, and furthermore for every $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have

$$A^n(x)[\eta_x] = \eta_{f^n(x)},$$

for every $x \in \Omega$.

Proof. We follow [14, Proposition 4.4], with minor adjustments to account for the fact that the holonomies H^s and H^u do not necessarily vary continuously on Σ . Fix $N > 0$ and $\theta < \tau$ such that $\mu(\mathcal{D}(N, \theta)) > \frac{9}{10}$.

By Lusin's theorem we can find a compact subset $K \subset \Sigma$ with $\mu(K) > \frac{9}{10}$ on which η is uniformly continuous and thus bounded. Then we know that

$$\frac{\mu(\mathcal{D}(N, \theta) \cap K)}{\mu(\mathcal{D}(N, \theta))} > \frac{4}{5}.$$

Set $E = \mathcal{D}(N, \theta) \cap K$. Let $\hat{f}$ denote the first return map to $\mathcal{D}(N, \theta)$. Let $S \subset \mathcal{D}(N, \theta)$ be the subset of points of $\mathcal{D}(N, \theta)$ for which the frequency of visits to E under iteration of $\hat{f}^n$ converges to $\frac{\mu(E)}{\mu(\mathcal{D}(N, \theta))} > \frac{1}{2}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. We have $\mu(S) = \mu(\mathcal{D}(N, \theta))$ by the Birkhoff ergodic theorem since $\hat{f}$ is ergodic. Hence for μ -a.e. $x, y \in \mathcal{D}(N, \theta)$ with $y \in W_{loc}^s(x)$ there are infinitely many $n \geq 1$ such that both $\hat{f}^n(x)$ and $\hat{f}^n(y) \in E$. Fix any pair of such points x, y with $y \in W_{loc}^s(x)$ and with the A -invariance property of η for all iterates, and let $n_i \rightarrow \infty$ be a sequence with $x^{n_i} := \hat{f}^{n_i}(x), y^{n_i} := \hat{f}^{n_i}(y) \in E$ for each i . By $\hat{A}$ -invariance of η on the orbits of x and y and since pushing forward by $\hat{A}$ is an isometry with respect to the metric g ,

$$\begin{aligned} g(\eta(y), H_{xy}^s[\eta(x)]) &= g(\hat{A}^{n_i}(y)[\eta(y)], \hat{A}^{n_i}(y)H_{xy}^s[\eta(x)]) \\ &= g(\eta(y^{n_i}), H_{x^{n_i}y^{n_i}}^s[\eta(x^{n_i})]) \\ &\leq g(\eta(y^{n_i}), \eta(x^{n_i})) + g(\eta(x^{n_i}), H_{x^{n_i}y^{n_i}}^s[\eta(x^{n_i})]) \end{aligned}$$

Since η is uniformly continuous on E ,

$$g(\eta(x^{n_i}), \eta(y^{n_i})) \rightarrow 0,$$

as $n_i \rightarrow \infty$. Also as $n_i \rightarrow \infty$, $H_{x^{n_i}y^{n_i}}^s$ converges uniformly to the identity map I on $\mathbb{R}^d$. Since η is bounded on E , this implies that

$$g(\eta(x^{n_i}), H_{x^{n_i}y^{n_i}}^s[\eta(x^{n_i})]) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n_i \rightarrow \infty.$$

We conclude that $\eta(y) = H_{xy}^s[\eta(x)]$, as desired. This holds for μ -a.e. $x, y \in \mathcal{D}(N, \theta)$ with $y \in W_{loc}^s(x)$. Note that if $s \geq 1$ then $\mathcal{D}(N, \theta) \subset \mathcal{D}(sN, \theta)$. Taking the union over all $N > 0$ of the sets $\mathcal{D}(N, \theta)$ for a fixed $\theta < \tau$ with $\mu(\mathcal{D}(N, \theta)) > \frac{9}{10}$ and also applying this argument replacing H^s by H^u , we obtain a full μ -measure subset Ω' of Σ such that if $x, y \in \Omega$ and $y \in W_{loc}^*(x)$ then the holonomy H_{xy}^* is defined according to Proposition 3.2 and $\eta(y) = H_{xy}^*[\eta(x)]$ for $* \in \{s, u\}$. By intersecting Ω' with the full measure set on which the equality $A(x)[\eta_x] = \eta_{f(x)}$ we can arrange that this equality holds on Ω' . We then set $\Omega = \cap_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} f^n(\Omega')$ to obtain the desired f -invariance property of Ω . $\square$

For each $N > 0$ and $\theta < \tau$, the stable and unstable holonomies H^s and H^u exist and vary continuously on $\mathcal{D}(N, \theta)$. By construction η is defined on $\Omega \cap \mathcal{D}(N, \theta)$ and invariant under the stable and unstable holonomies between points in this set. By Proposition 3.2 the restrictions of H^s and H^u to $\mathcal{D}(N, \theta)$ are Lipschitz with Lipschitz constant depending only on N and θ . Hence η is uniformly continuous on $\Omega \cap \mathcal{D}(N, \theta)$. We would like to construct a continuous extension $\hat{\eta}$ of η to $\mathcal{D}(N, \theta)$ which agrees μ -a.e. with η , is invariant under H^s and H^u on all of $\mathcal{D}(N, \theta)$, and such that if $x, f^n(x) \in \mathcal{D}(N, \theta)$ then $A^n(x)[\hat{\eta}_x] = \hat{\eta}_{f^n(x)}$.

The natural choice for such an extension, since η is uniformly continuous on $\Omega \cap \mathcal{D}(N, \theta)$, is to take the unique extension of η to the closure $\overline{\Omega \cap \mathcal{D}(N, \theta)}$. However,

this may be a proper subset of $\mathcal{D}(N, \theta)$. The best we can guarantee is that

$$\text{supp}(\mu|\mathcal{D}(N, \theta)) \subseteq \overline{\Omega \cap \mathcal{D}(N, \theta)} \subseteq \mathcal{D}(N, \theta)$$

To overcome this issue we use the following lemma,

Lemma 3.4. *For each $N > 0$ and $\theta < \tau$ there is an $N_* \geq N$ and $\theta \leq \theta_* < \tau$ such that*

$$\mathcal{D}(N, \theta) \subseteq \text{supp}(\mu|\mathcal{D}(N_*, \theta_*))$$

Proof. Let $N > 0$, $\theta < \tau$ be given such that

$$\frac{1}{N} \int_{\Sigma} \log \|A^N(x)\| \cdot \|A^N(x)^{-1}\| d\mu < \theta,$$

and set $\psi(x) = \frac{1}{N} \log \|A^N(x)\| \cdot \|A^N(x)^{-1}\|$. For any fixed $\theta > 0$ this inequality can always be arranged by taking N large enough since $\lambda_+(\mathcal{A}, \mu) = 0$. Set $S_n\psi(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \psi(f^j(x))$ and observe that if $x \in \mathcal{D}(N, \theta)$ then $\frac{S_n\psi(x)}{n} \leq \theta$.

Let $x = (x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \in \mathcal{D}(N, \theta)$ be given and let $0 < \gamma < \frac{1}{10}(\tau - \theta)$. Choose points z^i , $1 \leq i \leq \ell$, in the cylinders $[0; i]$ and for $y \in [0; i]$ recall that $[z^i, y]$ denotes the unique point in the intersection $W_{loc}^s(y) \cap W_{loc}^u(z^i)$. We set $\psi^u(y) = \psi([z^i, y])$ for $y \in [0; i]$, $1 \leq i \leq \ell$, and note that

$$\psi^u = \psi + \varphi \circ f - \varphi$$

where $\varphi(y) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \psi(f^k(y)) - \psi(f^k([z^i, y]))$ for $y \in [0; i]$. Since ψ is Lipschitz with respect to the distance ρ and $[z^i, y] \in W_{loc}^s(y)$, standard distortion estimates show that the sum defining φ converges uniformly and hence φ is continuous and bounded on Σ . Set $S_n\psi(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \psi(f^j(x))$ and note that

$$\left\| \frac{S_n\psi^u}{n} - \frac{S_n\psi}{n} \right\|_{\infty} \leq \frac{2}{n} \|\varphi\|_{\infty}.$$

The function $\psi^u : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is constant on local stable sets and hence descends to a map $\psi^u : \Sigma^u \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. We observe that

$$\int_{\Sigma^u} \psi^u d\mu^u = \int_{\Sigma} \psi d\mu < \theta$$

By Egorov's theorem, given any $\delta > 0$ we can find $K \subset \Sigma^u$ with $\mu^u(\Sigma \setminus K) > \delta$ and such that $\frac{S_n\psi^u}{n}$ converges uniformly to $\int_{\Sigma^u} \psi^u d\mu^u$ on K . Choose K corresponding to a δ with $\delta < \inf_{1 \leq i \leq \ell} \mu([0; i])$; this forces $K \cap [0; i] \neq \emptyset$ for each $1 \leq i \leq \ell$.

For each $m \geq 0$ let

$$K_m^u(x) = f_u^{-m}(K) \cap [0; x_0, x_1, \dots, x_m]^u$$

By proposition 2.1 together with the fact that $K \cap [0; i] \neq \emptyset$ for each $1 \leq i \leq \ell$ we have $\mu^u(K_m^u(x)) > 0$ for every $m \geq 0$.

Choose M large enough that for $n \geq M$ we have for every $w \in K$,

$$\frac{S_n\psi^u(w)}{n} < \int_{\Sigma^u} \psi^u d\mu^u + \gamma < \theta + \gamma$$

Now let $y \in \pi_u^{-1}(K_m^u(x))$ for some $m \geq 0$. For $n \geq 1$ we write

$$\frac{S_n\psi(y)}{n} = \frac{S_m\psi(x) - S_m\psi(y)}{n} + \frac{S_m\psi(x)}{n} + \frac{S_{n-m}\psi^u(f^m(y))}{n}$$

with the understanding that $S_{n-m}\psi(f^m(y)) := 0$ if $n \leq m$. We bound each of the three terms on the right side separately. For the first term we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{S_m\psi(x) - S_m\psi(y)}{n} &\leq \frac{S_m\psi^u(x) - S_m\psi^u(y)}{n} + \frac{2}{n}\|\varphi\|_\infty \\ &\leq \frac{\text{Lip}(\psi^u)}{n} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \rho(f^k(x), f^k(y)) \right) + \frac{2}{n}\|\varphi\|_\infty \\ &\leq \frac{1}{n} \left(\frac{\text{Lip}(\psi^u)}{1 - e^{-\tau}} + 2\|\varphi\|_\infty \right) \end{aligned}$$

where $\text{Lip}(\psi^u)$ denotes the Lipschitz constant of ψ^u . For the second term we use the bound

$$\frac{S_m\psi(x)}{n} \leq \begin{cases} \frac{N}{n}\|\psi\|_\infty, & m \leq N \\ \frac{m}{n}\theta, & m > N \end{cases}$$

Similarly, for the third term we use the bound

$$\frac{S_{n-m}\psi^u(f^m(y))}{n} \leq \begin{cases} \frac{M}{n}\|\psi^u\|_\infty, & n - m \leq M \\ \frac{n-m}{n}(\theta + \gamma), & m > N \end{cases}$$

Choose R large enough that

$$\frac{1}{R} \left(\frac{\text{Lip}(\psi^u)}{1 - e^{-\tau}} + 2\|\varphi\|_\infty \right) < \gamma$$

and also large enough that $\frac{N}{R}\|\psi\|_\infty < \gamma$ and $\frac{M}{R}\|\psi^u\|_\infty < \gamma$. We conclude by combining all of the above estimates that for any $m \geq 1$, $x \in \mathcal{D}(N, \theta)$, $y \in \pi_u^{-1}(K_m^u(x))$ and $n \geq R$ we have

$$\frac{S_n\psi(y)}{n} < \theta + 3\gamma.$$

By our choice of γ we have $\theta + 3\gamma < \tau$, so we can set $\theta_* = \theta + 3\gamma$. We conclude that for every $m \geq 1$, $x \in \mathcal{D}(N, \theta)$, $y \in \pi_u^{-1}(K_m^u(x))$ and $k \geq 1$ we have

$$\prod_{j=0}^k \|A^{NR}(f^{jNR}(y))\| \|A^{NR}(f^{jNR}(y))^{-1}\| \leq e^{N \sum_{j=0}^{kR} \psi(y)} \leq e^{kNR\theta_*}.$$

We may replace f and A everywhere in the above proof by f^{-1} and A^{-1} and run the same arguments again with u everywhere replaced by s . We obtain an $R' \geq N$ and an analogous sequence of subsets

$$K_m^s(x) \subset [-m; x_{-m}, x_{-m+1}, \dots, x_{-1}]^s,$$

of Σ^s with $\mu^s(K_m^s(x)) > 0$ for every $m \geq 1$ such that for $m \geq 1$, $x \in \mathcal{D}(N, \theta)$, $y \in \pi_s^{-1}(K_m^s(x))$ and $k \geq 1$ we have

$$\prod_{j=0}^k \|A^{-NR'}(f^{-jNR'}(y))\| \|A^{-NR'}(f^{-jNR'}(y))^{-1}\| \leq e^{N \sum_{j=0}^{kR'} \psi(y)} \leq e^{kNR'\theta_*}.$$

Let $N_* = N \cdot \max(R, R')$ and for $m \geq 1$ let

$$E_m(x) = \pi_s^{-1}(K_m^s(x)) \cap \pi_u^{-1}(K_m^u(x))$$

We conclude that if $x \in \mathcal{D}(N, \theta)$ and $y \in E_m(x)$ for some $m \geq 1$ then $y \in \mathcal{D}(N_*, \theta_*)$.

Let $x \in \mathcal{D}(N, \theta)$. From the local product structure of the measure μ on the cylinder $[0; x_0]$ it follows that

$$\mu(E_m(x)) \geq \left(\inf_{\Sigma} \xi \right) \mu^u(K_m^u(x)) \mu^s(K_m^s(x)) > 0$$

for every $m \geq 1$. Furthermore it is easy to check from the construction that $E_m(x)$ contained in the ρ -ball of radius $e^{-m\tau}$ centered at x in Σ . Since $E_m(x) \subset \mathcal{D}(N_*, \theta_*)$ for every $m \geq 1$ and the ρ -balls of radius $e^{-m\tau}$ form a neighborhood basis of x as $m \rightarrow \infty$ we conclude that $x \in \text{supp}(\mu|_{\mathcal{D}(N_*, \theta_*)})$ as desired. $\square$

We are now able to construct the desired extension $\hat{\eta}$ of η to $\mathcal{D}(N, \theta)$ for each $N > 0$ large enough and $\theta < \tau$. Fix $\theta' < \tau$ and take N' large enough that for $1 \leq i \leq \ell$ we have $\mu(\mathcal{D}(N', \theta') \cap [0; i]) > 0$. Choose points $\omega^i \in \Omega \cap \mathcal{D}(N', \theta') \cap [0; i]$ for $1 \leq i \leq \ell$. For any point $x \in \mathcal{D}(N, \theta) \cap [0; i]$ for some $N > 0$ and $\theta < \tau$ we define

$$\hat{\eta}_x = H_{[\omega^i, x]}^s H_{\omega^i}^u [\eta_{\omega^i}]$$

Lemma 3.5. *For each $\theta < \tau$ and $N > 0$, $\hat{\eta}$ is uniformly continuous on $\mathcal{D}(N, \theta)$. If $x \in \mathcal{D}(N, \theta)$ then for any $y \in W_{loc}^*(x)$ we have $H_{xy}^*[\hat{\eta}_x] = \hat{\eta}_y$ for $*$ in $\{s, u\}$ and*

$$A(x)[\hat{\eta}_x] = \hat{\eta}_{f(x)}$$

Proof. Fix $N > 0$ and $\theta < \tau$. Apply Lemma 3.4 to N and θ to obtain $\theta_* < \tau$ and N_* such that

$$\mathcal{D}(N, \theta) \subseteq \text{supp}(\mu|_{\mathcal{D}(N_*, \theta_*)}).$$

Since η is uniformly continuous on $\Omega \cap \mathcal{D}(N_*, \theta_*)$ and this subset has full measure in $\mathcal{D}(N_*, \theta_*)$, we conclude that η has a unique continuous extension to the set $\text{supp}(\mu|_{\mathcal{D}(N_*, \theta_*)})$ and therefore a unique continuous extension to the set $\mathcal{D}(N, \theta)$.

Since every point of $\text{supp}(\mu|_{\mathcal{D}(N_*, \theta_*)})$ is a limit point of the set $\Omega \cap \mathcal{D}(N_*, \theta_*)$ on which η is invariant under the stable and unstable holonomies H^s and H^u by Lemma 3.3 and these holonomies are uniformly continuous on $\mathcal{D}(N_*, \theta_*)$ we conclude that this continuous extension of η to $\text{supp}(\mu|_{\mathcal{D}(N_*, \theta_*)})$ is invariant under H^u and H^s . Since $\omega^i \in \text{supp}(\mu|_{\mathcal{D}(N_*, \theta_*)})$ for $1 \leq i \leq \ell$ this implies that this continuous extension coincides with $\hat{\eta}$. Thus $\hat{\eta}$ is uniformly continuous on $\mathcal{D}(N, \theta)$ and invariant under both H^s and H^u for any choice of $N > 0$, $\theta < \tau$.

Lastly we need to show that $\hat{\eta}$ is A -invariant. To do this we use the following easy proposition,

Proposition 3.6. *Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. Then for every N large enough we have for any $\theta > 0$,*

$$f^{-1}(\mathcal{D}(N, \theta)) \subseteq \mathcal{D}(N, \theta + \varepsilon).$$

Proof. For every $x \in \Sigma$ and $N > 0$ we have the inequality

$$\|A^N(f^{-1}(x))\| \leq \|A^N(x)\| \cdot \|A(f^N(x))^{-1}\| \cdot \|A(f^{-1}(x))\|$$

Let $R = \sup_{x \in \Sigma} \max\{\|A(x)\|, \|A(x)^{-1}\|\}$. Then we have

$$\|A^N(f^{-1}(x))\| \leq R^2 \|A^N(x)\|$$

and similarly

$$\|A^{-N}(f^{-1}(x))\| \leq R^2 \|A^{-N}(x)\|$$

for every $x \in \Sigma$. We conclude that for every $x \in \mathcal{D}(N, \theta)$,

$$\prod_{j=0}^{k-1} \|A^N(f^{jN}(f^{-1}(x)))\| \|A^N(f^{jN}(f^{-1}(x)))^{-1}\| \leq R^{4k} e^{kN\theta} \quad \text{for all } k \geq 1,$$

and the same inequality holds with f^{-1} and A^{-1} replacing f and A . Hence we see that if $R^4 \leq e^{N\varepsilon}$ then $f^{-1}(x) \in \mathcal{D}(N, \theta + \varepsilon)$, and this clearly holds for N large enough. $\square$

By Proposition 3.6 we can (by taking N_* larger if necessary) find some $0 < \varepsilon < \tau - \theta_*$ such that

$$f^{-1}(\mathcal{D}(N_*, \theta_*)) \subset \mathcal{D}(N_*, \theta_* + \varepsilon)$$

Applying the conclusions of the previous paragraph to $\mathcal{D}(N_*, \theta_* + \varepsilon)$ since $\theta_* + \varepsilon < \tau$, we conclude that $\hat{\eta}$ is uniformly continuous on $\mathcal{D}(N_*, \theta_* + \varepsilon)$ and thus $x \rightarrow \hat{\eta}_{f^{-1}(x)}$ is a uniformly continuous function on $\mathcal{D}(N_*, \theta_*)$. Setting $\zeta_x = A(f^{-1}(x))[\hat{\eta}_{f^{-1}(x)}]$, we conclude that ζ is uniformly continuous on $\mathcal{D}(N_*, \theta_*)$ and $\zeta_x = \eta_x$ for μ -a.e. $x \in \Sigma$. Hence ζ also gives a continuous extension of η to $\mathcal{D}(N, \theta)$. By the uniqueness of this extension we conclude that $\zeta = \hat{\eta}$ on $\mathcal{D}(N, \theta)$ for any $N > 0$, $\theta < \tau$. This then implies that for $x \in \mathcal{D}(N, \theta)$,

$$\hat{\eta}_x = A(f^{-1}(x))[\hat{\eta}_{f^{-1}(x)}],$$

which is equivalent to the desired invariance property. $\square$

The last critical step of the proof is to show that the extremal Lyapunov exponents of $\mathcal{A}$ for all f -invariant probability measures supported on periodic orbits are zero. We will need the following lemma obtained from the results in [12, Section 3],

Lemma 3.7. *Let $x \in \Sigma$ be a periodic point for f with extremal Lyapunov exponent $\lambda := \lambda_+(\mathcal{A}, \nu_x)$ and let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. Then there is a constant $C \geq 1$ independent of n such that for every $n \geq 1$, if $y \in \Sigma$ satisfies*

$$\rho(f^j(x), f^j(y)) \leq \max\{e^{-j\tau}, e^{-(n-j)\tau}\}, \quad 0 \leq j \leq n,$$

then

$$C^{-1}e^{n(\lambda-\varepsilon)} \leq \|A^n(y)\| \leq Ce^{n(\lambda+\varepsilon)}.$$

Proof. This lemma follows from [12, Lemma 3.1] together with the observation that for a probability measure ν_x supported on a periodic point x , there is a uniform comparison between the ε -Lyapunov norm and the Euclidean norm which depends only on ε . Lemma 3.1 of [12] then gives that there is a $\delta > 0$ and a constant $C' \geq 1$ such that for every $n \geq 1$, if

$$\rho(f^j(x), f^j(y)) \leq \delta \cdot \max\{e^{-j\tau}, e^{-(n-j)\tau}\}, \quad 0 \leq j \leq n,$$

then

$$C'^{-1}e^{n(\lambda-\varepsilon)} \leq \|A^n(y)\| \leq C'e^{n(\lambda+\varepsilon)}.$$

To obtain our desired statement, fix m large enough that $e^{-m\tau} < \delta$. Then for every $n \geq 1$, if

$$\rho(f^j(x), f^j(y)) \leq \max\{e^{-j\tau}, e^{-(n-j)\tau}\}, \quad 0 \leq j \leq n,$$

then

$$\rho(f^j(x), f^j(y)) \leq \delta \cdot \max\{e^{-j+m\tau}, e^{-(n-j+m)\tau}\}, \quad m \leq j \leq n-m,$$

and consequently

$$C'^{-1}e^{(n-2m)(\lambda-\varepsilon)} \leq \|A^{n-m}(f^m(y))\| \leq C'e^{(n-2m)(\lambda+\varepsilon)}.$$

We conclude that

$$C^{-1}e^{n(\lambda-\varepsilon)} \leq \|A^n(y)\| \leq Ce^{n(\lambda+\varepsilon)},$$

with $C = C'e^{2m(\lambda+\varepsilon)} \sup_{z \in \Sigma} \|A^m(z)\| \|A^m(z)^{-1}\|$. $\square$

Lemma 3.8. *For every periodic point p of f , $\lambda_+(\mathcal{A}, \nu_p) = 0$.*

Proof. By Kalinin's exponent approximation theorem [12] there is at least one periodic point y with $\lambda_+(\mathcal{A}, \nu_y) < \tau$ because we have an ergodic f -invariant probability measure μ for which $\lambda_+(\mathcal{A}, \mu) = 0$. Let y be a periodic point of f with $\lambda_+(\mathcal{A}, \nu_y) < \tau$. Let k be the period of y . Then there is an $N > 0$ and $\theta < \tau$ such that $y \in \mathcal{D}(N, \theta)$. Consequently $\hat{\eta}_y$ is well-defined according to Lemma 3.5 and satisfies $A^k(y)[\hat{\eta}_y] = \hat{\eta}_y$. Thus $A^k(y)$ preserves an inner product on $\mathbb{R}^d$; we conclude that $\lambda_+(\mathcal{A}, \nu_y) = 0$. Hence we conclude that there is a periodic point y of f with $\lambda_+(\mathcal{A}, \nu_y) = 0$.

We next assume to a contradiction that there is a periodic point x of f with $\lambda_+(\mathcal{A}, \nu_x) > 0$. Set $\lambda := \lambda_+(\mathcal{A}, \nu_x)$ and let $k \geq 1$ be a common period for x and the periodic point y with $\lambda_+(\mathcal{A}, \nu_y) = 0$ which was obtained in the first paragraph. We write $x = (x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$, $y = (y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ in the shift coordinates on Σ .

For a constant $c > 0$ and an $\varepsilon > 0$ small satisfying $\varepsilon < \lambda$ and $\varepsilon < \tau$, we set $\chi := c(\lambda - \varepsilon) - 2\varepsilon$ and

$$\theta := 2\varepsilon + \left(1 - \frac{1}{1+c}\right)(\lambda + \varepsilon).$$

Fix c small enough that $\left(1 - \frac{1}{1+c}\right)\lambda < \frac{\tau}{2}$ and then choose $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough that $\chi > 0$ and $\theta < \tau$. We will use the parameters c and ε in the construction below.

We will construct a sequence of new periodic points $\{p^m\}_{m \geq 1}$ symbolically using orbit segments of f which shadow the orbits of x and y . Since Σ is a topologically mixing subshift of finite type, there is an $M > 0$ such that all entries of the matrix Q^M have positive entries. Set $u_m = (2+c)km + 2M$. For $m \geq 1$ we define $p^m = (p_n^m)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ where the numbers $p_n^m \in \{1, \dots, \ell\}$ are chosen as follows: for $-km \leq j \leq km$ we set $p_j^m = y_j$. For $km+M \leq j \leq (1+c)km+M$ we define $p_j^m = x_{j-km-M}$.

Since Q^M is a positive matrix, for $km < j < km+M$ we can find coordinates p_j^m connecting y_{km} to x_0 , i.e., such that the string $(p_{km}^m, \dots, p_{km+M}^m)$ is a valid word corresponding to the matrix Q . Similarly for $(1+c)km+M < j \leq (1+c)km+2M$ we can fill in coordinates for p_j^m which connect $x_{(1+c)km+M}$ to y_0 in the subshift Σ . We then define the rest of the coordinates of p^m by declaring p^m to be periodic with period u_m .

For $0 \leq j \leq km$ we have

$$\rho(f^j(y), f^j(p^m)) \leq \max\{e^{-j\tau}, e^{-(km-j)\tau}\},$$

and

$$\rho(f^{-j}(y), f^{-j}(p^m)) \leq \max\{e^{-j\tau}, e^{-(km-j)\tau}\}.$$

Lastly, for $0 \leq j \leq ckm$,

$$\rho(f^j(x), f^{j+km+M}(p^m)) \leq \max\{e^{-j\tau}, e^{-(ckm-j)\tau}\}.$$

Apply Lemma 3.7 to the periodic points x and y with the $\varepsilon > 0$ chosen above and let C be the maximum of the two constants in the output of the lemma for x and y respectively. Let $R = \sup_{z \in \Sigma} \|A^M(z)^{-1}\|$.

We have the lower bound using Lemma 3.7,

$$\begin{aligned} \|A^{u_m}(p^m)\| &\geq \|A^{ckm}(f^{km+M}(p^m))\| \cdot \|A^{km}(p^m)^{-1}\|^{-1} \cdot \|A^M(f^{km}(p^m)^{-1})\|^{-1} \\ &\quad \cdot \|A^M(f^{km}(p^m)^{-1})\|^{-1} \cdot \|A^M(f^{k(m+c)}(p^m)^{-1})\|^{-1} \\ &\quad \cdot \|A^{km}(f^{k(m+c)+M}(p^m))^{-1}\|^{-1} \\ &\geq C^{-3}R^{-2} \exp((c(\lambda - \varepsilon) - 2\varepsilon)km) \\ &= C^{-3}R^{-2} \exp(\chi km) \end{aligned}$$

We chose ε and c such that $\chi > 0$. As a consequence we have that $\|A^{u_m}(p^m)\| \rightarrow \infty$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$.

We claim however that there is an $N > 0$ such that for every $m \geq 1$ large enough we have $p^m \in \mathcal{D}(N, \theta)$. If this were true, then we would conclude by Lemma 3.5, using the A -invariance of $\hat{\eta}$ on $\mathcal{D}(N, \theta)$, that for every m large enough,

$$A^{u_m}(p^m)_* \hat{\eta}_{p^m} = \hat{\eta}_{p^m}.$$

But by Lemma 3.4, we can find $N_* \geq N$, $\theta \leq \theta_* < \tau$ such that $\mathcal{D}(N, \theta) \subset \text{supp}(\mu|\mathcal{D}(N_*, \theta_*))$. Since $\hat{\eta}$ is uniformly continuous on $\text{supp}(\mu|\mathcal{D}(N_*, \theta_*))$ and this set is compact, we conclude that the distance in the metric g on $SL(d, \mathbb{R})/SO(d, \mathbb{R})$ of $\hat{\eta}_{p^m}$ from the standard Euclidean inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ on $\mathbb{R}^d$ is bounded independent of m . Hence there is a constant $\gamma > 0$ such that for every $m \geq 1$,

$$\|A^{u_m}(p^m)\| \leq \gamma.$$

This contradicts the claim that $\|A^{u_m}(p^m)\| \rightarrow \infty$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$ and thus completes the proof.

It remains to prove the claim that there is an $N > 0$ such that for every $m \geq 1$ large enough we have $p^m \in \mathcal{D}(N, \theta)$. We will show that there is an $N > 0$ such that for $m \geq 1$ large enough and every $s \geq 1$,

$$\prod_{j=0}^{s-1} \|A^{jN}(f^{jN}(p^m))\| \|A^{jN}(f^{jN}(p^m))^{-1}\| \leq e^{sN\theta}$$

The same proof will show the same inequality when f and A are replaced by f^{-1} and A^{-1} and thus shows that $p^m \in \mathcal{D}(N, \theta)$.

Let $J > 0$ be large enough that for $j \geq J$,

$$\|A^j(y)\| \|A^j(y)^{-1}\| \leq e^{j\varepsilon}, \quad \|A^j(x)\| \|A^j(x)^{-1}\| \leq e^{j(2\lambda+\varepsilon)},$$

and fix $q > 0$ large enough that $kq \geq J$. Let $m \geq 1$ be given, set $r := kq$, and let $L := \text{Lip}(\log \|A^{kq}\| \|A^{kq}^{-1}\|)$ be the Lipschitz constant of $\log \|A^{kq}\| \|A^{kq}^{-1}\|$.

For $0 \leq s \leq \frac{m}{q}$ we then have

$$\begin{aligned} \log \left(\prod_{j=0}^{s-1} \frac{\|A^r(f^{jr}(p^m))\| \|A^r(f^{jr}(p^m))^{-1}\|}{\|A^r(f^{jr}(y))\| \|A^r(f^{jr}(y))^{-1}\|} \right) &\leq L \sum_{j=0}^{s-1} \rho(f^{jr}(p^m), f^{jr}(y)) \\ &\leq L \sum_{j=0}^{s-1} \max\{e^{-jr\tau}, e^{-(km-jr)\tau}\} \\ &\leq L\zeta \end{aligned}$$

for a constant $\zeta > 0$ independent of s, m . For $\frac{m}{q} + 1 \leq s \leq \frac{m+c}{q}$, we replace y by x in the above estimate. Then for $\frac{m}{q} + 1 \leq s \leq \frac{m+c}{q}$ as before we have

$$\begin{aligned} \log \left(\prod_{j=\frac{m}{q}}^{s-1} \frac{\|A^r(f^{jr}(p^m))\| \|A^r(f^{jr}(p^m))^{-1}\|}{\|A^r(f^{jr}(x))\| \|A^r(f^{jr}(x))^{-1}\|} \right) &\leq \sum_{j=\frac{m}{q}}^{s-1} L \rho(f^{jr}(p^m), f^{jr}(x)) \\ &\leq L\zeta \end{aligned}$$

for a (possibly larger) constant ζ which is again independent of s, m . The same estimates give for $\frac{m+c}{q} + 1 \leq s \leq \frac{2m+c}{q}$,

$$\log \left(\prod_{j=\frac{m+c}{q}}^{s-1} \frac{\|A^r(f^{jr}(p^m))\| \|A^r(f^{jr}(p^m))^{-1}\|}{\|A^r(f^{jr}(y))\| \|A^r(f^{jr}(y))^{-1}\|} \right) \leq L\zeta$$

We conclude that for $0 \leq s \leq \frac{m}{q}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{j=0}^{s-1} \|A^r(f^{jr}(p^m))\| \|A^r(f^{jr}(p^m))^{-1}\| &\leq e^{L\zeta} \left(\prod_{j=0}^{s-1} \|A^r(f^{jr}(y))\| \|A^r(f^{jr}(y))^{-1}\| \right) \\ &\leq e^{L\zeta + rs\varepsilon}. \end{aligned}$$

For $\frac{m}{q} + 1 \leq s \leq \frac{m+c}{q}$, we pick up the approximations from x as well,

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{j=0}^{s-1} \|A^r(f^{jr}(p^m))\| \|A^r(f^{jr}(p^m))^{-1}\| &\leq e^{L\zeta + km\varepsilon} \prod_{j=\frac{m}{q}}^{s-1} \|A^r(f^{jr}(x))\| \|A^r(f^{jr}(x))^{-1}\| \\ &\leq \exp \left(2L\zeta + km\varepsilon + \left(s - \frac{m}{q} \right) r(\lambda + \varepsilon) \right). \end{aligned}$$

For $\frac{m+c}{q} + 1 \leq s \leq \frac{2m+c}{q}$, we use the approximation by y again, and we end up with

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{j=0}^{s-1} \|A^r(f^{jr}(p^m))\| \|A^r(f^{jr}(p^m))^{-1}\| &\leq \exp(3L\zeta + km\varepsilon + kcm(\lambda + \varepsilon)) \\ &\quad + \left(s - \frac{m+c}{q} \right) r\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

For $s \geq \frac{2m+c}{q} + 1$ we can use the periodicity of p_m to reduce those estimates to the ones above. These same estimates continue to hold for any $t \geq 1$ and $s \leq \frac{2m+c}{tq}$

where we are bounding

$$\prod_{j=0}^{s-1} \|A^{rt}(f^{jrt}(p^m))\| \|A^{rt}(f^{jrt}(p^m))^{-1}\|,$$

instead. Choose t large enough that $rt\varepsilon > 3L\zeta$. Let $\theta < \tau$ be the parameter chosen at the beginning of the proof and set $N := rt$. Since $\theta > 2\varepsilon$, we have for $0 \leq s \leq \frac{m}{tq}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{j=0}^{s-1} \|A^N(f^{jN}(p^m))\| \|A^N(f^{jN}(p^m))^{-1}\| &\leq \exp(L\zeta + Ns\varepsilon) \\ &\leq \exp(N\varepsilon + Ns\varepsilon) \\ &\leq \exp(Ns\theta) \end{aligned}$$

For $\frac{m}{tq} + 1 \leq s \leq \frac{m+c}{tq}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{j=0}^{s-1} \|A^N(f^{jN}(p^m))\| \|A^N(f^{jN}(p^m))^{-1}\| &\leq \exp(L\zeta + km\varepsilon) \\ &\cdot \prod_{j=\frac{m}{tq}}^{s-1} \|A^N(f^{jN}(x))\| \|A^N(f^{jN}(x))^{-1}\| \\ &\leq \exp\left(N\varepsilon + km\varepsilon + \left(s - \frac{m}{tq}\right)rt(\lambda + \varepsilon)\right) \\ &\leq \exp(sN\theta), \end{aligned}$$

by our choice of θ : the term inside the exponent is equal to

$$\left(\varepsilon + \frac{km}{N}\varepsilon + \left(s - \frac{m}{tq}\right)(\lambda + \varepsilon)\right)N.$$

Writing the coefficient of N as βs and solving for β , we obtain

$$\beta = \frac{\varepsilon}{s} + \frac{km}{sN}\varepsilon + \left(1 - \frac{m}{sqt}\right)(\lambda + \varepsilon)$$

Since $\frac{tq}{m+c} \leq s^{-1} \leq \frac{tq}{m}$, this gives

$$\begin{aligned} \beta &\leq 2\varepsilon + \left(1 - \frac{m}{m+c}\right)(\lambda + \varepsilon) \\ &\leq 2\varepsilon + \left(1 - \frac{1}{1+c}\right)(\lambda + \varepsilon) \\ &= \theta. \end{aligned}$$

For $\frac{m+c}{tq} \leq s \leq \frac{2m+c}{tq}$ we apply the same estimate with y as we did above and use the estimate for x as well that we obtained above to get,

$$\begin{aligned}
\prod_{j=0}^{s-1} \|A^N(f^{jN}(p^m))\| \|A^N(f^{jN}(p^m))^{-1}\| &\leq e^{3L\zeta} \prod_{j=0}^{\frac{m}{tq}} \|A^N(f^{jN}(y))\| \|A^N(f^{jN}(y))^{-1}\| \\
&\quad \cdot \prod_{j=\frac{m}{tq}+1}^{\frac{m+c}{tq}} \|A^N(f^{jN}(x))\| \|A^N(f^{jN}(x))^{-1}\| \\
&\quad \cdot \prod_{j=\frac{m+c}{tq}+1}^{\frac{2m+c}{tq}} \|A^N(f^{jN}(y))\| \|A^N(f^{jN}(y))^{-1}\| \\
&\leq e^{sN\theta}
\end{aligned}$$

The estimates hold for $s \geq \frac{2m+c}{tq} + 1$ by periodicity of p^m , and a symmetric argument shows that these estimates also hold for f^{-1} and A^{-1} replacing f and A . Hence $p^m \in \mathcal{D}(N, \theta)$ for every $m \geq 1$ large enough, which completes the proof. $\square$

Since $\lambda_+(\mathcal{A}, \nu_p) = 0$ for every periodic point p of f , we conclude by [12, Theorem 1.4] that for every f -invariant ergodic probability measure ν on Σ we must have $\lambda_+(\mathcal{A}, \nu) = -\lambda_-(\mathcal{A}, \nu) = 0$. We then apply [14, Proposition 4.11] with $\varepsilon < \tau$ to obtain a uniform estimate

$$\|A^n(x)\| \|A^n(x)^{-1}\| \leq C e^{\varepsilon|n|}, \text{ for every } x \in \Sigma, n \in \mathbb{Z},$$

for some constant $C \geq 1$. We conclude that there is $N > 0$, $\theta < \tau$ such that $\mathcal{D}(N, \theta) = \Sigma$. Hence the continuous extension $\hat{\eta}$ of η from Lemma 3.5 is the desired continuous family of inner products invariant under $\mathcal{A}$. Since $\hat{\eta}$ is invariant under the stable and unstable holonomies H^s and H^u on $\mathcal{D}(N, \theta) = \Sigma$ and by Proposition 3.2 these holonomies are uniformly Lipschitz, we conclude that $\hat{\eta}$ is Lipschitz continuous with respect to the distance ρ , as desired.

REFERENCES

- [1] Artur Avila and Marcelo Viana. Extremal Lyapunov exponents: an invariance principle and applications. *Invent. Math.*, 181(1):115–189, 2010.
- [2] Lucas Backes. Rigidity of fiber bunched cocycles. 2015. Preprint arXiv:1308.6539.
- [3] Lucas Backes, Aaron Brown, and Clark Butler. Continuity of Lyapunov exponents for cocycles with invariant holonomies. 2015. Preprint arXiv:1507.08978.
- [4] G. Besson, G. Courtois, and S. Gallot. Entropies et rigidités des espaces localement symétriques de courbure strictement négative. *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, 5(5):731–799, 1995.
- [5] Carlos Bocker-Neto and Marcelo Viana. Continuity of Lyapunov exponents for random 2d matrices. 2010. Preprint arXiv:1012.0872.
- [6] C. Bonatti and M. Viana. Lyapunov exponents with multiplicity 1 for deterministic products of matrices. *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems*, 24(5):1295–1330, 2004.
- [7] Rufus Bowen. *Equilibrium states and the ergodic theory of Anosov diffeomorphisms*, volume 470 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, revised edition, 2008. With a preface by David Ruelle, Edited by Jean-René Chazottes.
- [8] Rufus Bowen and David Ruelle. The ergodic theory of Axiom A flows. *Invent. Math.*, 29(3):181–202, 1975.
- [9] Clark Butler. Discontinuity of Lyapunov exponents near fiber bunched cocycles. 2015. Preprint arXiv:1509.04122.
- [10] Clark Butler. Rigidity of equality of Lyapunov exponents for geodesic flows. 2015. Preprint arXiv:1501.05997.

- [11] Rafael de la Llave and Victoria Sadovskaya. On the regularity of integrable conformal structures invariant under Anosov systems. *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.*, 12(3):377–385, 2005.
- [12] Boris Kalinin. Livšic theorem for matrix cocycles. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 173(2):1025–1042, 2011.
- [13] Boris Kalinin and Victoria Sadovskaya. Linear cocycles over hyperbolic systems and criteria of conformality. *J. Mod. Dyn.*, 4(3):419–441, 2010.
- [14] Boris Kalinin and Victoria Sadovskaya. Cocycles with one exponent over partially hyperbolic systems. *Geom. Dedicata*, 167:167–188, 2013.
- [15] Masahiko Kanai. Differential-geometric studies on dynamics of geodesic and frame flows. *Japan. J. Math. (N.S.)*, 19(1):1–30, 1993.
- [16] Anatole Katok and Boris Hasselblatt. *Introduction to the modern theory of dynamical systems*, volume 54 of *Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995. With a supplementary chapter by Katok and Leonardo Mendoza.
- [17] J. F. C. Kingman. The ergodic theory of subadditive stochastic processes. *J. Roy. Statist. Soc. Ser. B*, 30:499–510, 1968.
- [18] A. N. Livšic. Cohomology of dynamical systems. *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat.*, 36:1296–1320, 1972.
- [19] Calvin C. Moore. Amenable subgroups of semisimple groups and proximal flows. *Israel J. Math.*, 34(1-2):121–138 (1980), 1979.
- [20] M. Ratner. Markov partitions for Anosov flows on n -dimensional manifolds. *Israel J. Math.*, 15:92–114, 1973.
- [21] Victoria Sadovskaya. On uniformly quasiconformal Anosov systems. *Math. Res. Lett.*, 12(2-3):425–441, 2005.
- [22] Victoria Sadovskaya. Cohomology of fiber bunched cocycles over hyperbolic systems. 2015.
- [23] Marcelo Viana. Almost all cocycles over any hyperbolic system have nonvanishing Lyapunov exponents. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 167(2):643–680, 2008.
- [24] Marcelo Viana. *Lectures on Lyapunov exponents*, volume 145 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2014.
- [25] C. Yue. Quasiconformality in the geodesic flow of negatively curved manifolds. *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, 6(4):740–750, 1996.
- [26] Robert J. Zimmer. *Ergodic theory and semisimple groups*, volume 81 of *Monographs in Mathematics*. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1984.